

Transitive and Co-Transitive caps

A. Cossidente , O.H. King

February 1, 2008

1 Introduction

Let $PG(r, q)$ be the projective space of dimension r over $GF(q)$. A k -cap $\bar{K}$ in $PG(r, q)$ is a set of k points, no three of which are collinear [10], and a k -cap is said to be *complete* if it is maximal with respect to set-theoretic inclusion. The maximum value of k for which there is known to exist a k -cap in $PG(r, q)$ is denoted by $m_2(r, q)$. Some known bounds for $m_2(r, q)$ are given below.

Suppose that $\bar{K}$ is a cap in $PG(r, q)$ with automorphism group $\bar{G}_0 \leq P\Gamma L(r+1, q)$. Then $\bar{K}$ is said to be *transitive* if $\bar{G}_0$ acts transitively on $\bar{K}$, and *co-transitive* if $\bar{G}_0$ acts transitively on $PG(r, q) - \bar{K}$.

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Suppose $\bar{K}$ is a transitive, co-transitive cap in $PG(r, q)$. Then one of the following occurs:*

1. $\bar{K}$ is an elliptic quadric in $PG(3, q)$ and q is a square when q is odd;
2. $\bar{K}$ is the Suzuki-Tits ovoid in $PG(3, q)$ and $q = 2^h$, with h odd and ≥ 3 ;
3. $\bar{K}$ is a hyperoval in $PG(2, 4)$;
4. $\bar{K}$ is an 11-cap in $PG(4, 3)$ and $\bar{G}_0 \simeq M_{11}$;
5. $\bar{K}$ is the complement of a hyperplane in $PG(r, 2)$;
6. $\bar{K}$ is a union of Singer orbits in $PG(r, q)$ and $G_0 \leq \Gamma L(1, p^d) \leq GL(d, p)$.

In each of 1–5 $\bar{K}$ is indeed a transitive co-transitive cap.

Our conclusion is that transitive, co-transitive caps are rare with the possible exception of unions of Singer cyclic orbits.

The origin of this problem are papers by Hill [8], [7], in which he studies such caps whose automorphism group acts 2-transitively on the cap. [As he notes [8, Theorem 1], it is trivial to show that if $\bar{K}$ is a subset of $PG(r, q)$ lying in no proper subspace and admitting a 3-transitive group then $\bar{K}$ must be a cap.] Hill gives a short list of possibilities (omitting Suzuki–Tits ovoids) but excludes caps in $PG(r, q)$ for $q > 2$ and $r \geq 13$. We find no new caps but show that any other transitive, co-transitive cap is a union of Singer cyclic orbits.

The known upper bounds on cap sizes are summarised in the following Result.

Result 2 [10, Theorem 27.3.1]

$$m_2(2, q) = q + 1 \text{ (for } q \text{ odd);}$$

$$m_2(2, q) = q + 2 \text{ (for } q \text{ even);}$$

$$m_2(3, q) = q^2 + 1 \text{ for } q > 2;$$

$$m_2(r, 2) = 2^r; \text{ and}$$

$$m_2(r, q) \leq q^{r-1} \text{ for } q > 2 \text{ and } r \geq 4.$$

We begin by showing that as a consequence of Result 2, a cap must be smaller than its complement (with one exception). It then follows that in considering subgroups of $PGL(r+1, q)$ having two orbits, we need only consider the smaller orbit when looking for transitive, co-transitive caps.

Lemma 3 *Suppose that $\bar{K}$ is a cap in $PG(r, q)$. Then either $|\bar{K}| < (q^{r+1} - 1)/2(q - 1)$, or $q = 2$ and $\bar{K}$ is the complement of a hyperplane.*

Proof. It is easy to deduce from Result 2, that the result holds when $q \neq 2$. Thus suppose now that $q = 2$ and that $|\bar{K}| \geq (2^{r+1} - 1)/2$. The only possibility is that $|\bar{K}| = 2^r$. Let $x \in \bar{K}$. For each $y \in \bar{K} - \{x\}$ there is a line through x and y and the $2^r - 1$ such lines must be distinct since $\bar{K}$ is a cap. However x lies on exactly $2^r - 1$ lines in $PG(r, 2)$ and so every line in $PG(r, 2)$ through x meets $\bar{K}$ in two points and $PG(r, q) - \bar{K}$ in one point. Therefore any line meeting $PG(r, q) - \bar{K}$ in at least two points is contained in $PG(r, q) - \bar{K}$. This shows that $PG(r, q) - \bar{K}$ is a subspace of $PG(r, 2)$ and its size shows that it is a hyperplane. $\square$

Using Result 6 we shall know orbit lengths when looking at candidates for transitive, co-transitive caps. Lemma 5 below helps in eliminating a number of possibilities.

Definition 4 *Suppose that $\bar{K}$ is a cap in $PG(r, q)$. For any $x \in PG(r, q)$, the chord-number of x is the number of chords (2-secants) of $\bar{K}$ passing through x .*

Lemma 5 *Suppose that $\bar{K}$ is a transitive, co-transitive cap in $PG(r, q)$ and suppose that $x \in PG(r, q) - \bar{K}$. Let $k = |\bar{K}|$ and $m = |PG(r, q) - \bar{K}|$. Then the chord-number, c , of x is given by*

$$c = \frac{k(k-1)(q-1)}{2m}.$$

In particular the expression for c always yields an integer.

Proof. We count combinations of chords and points of $PG(r, q) - \bar{K}$ in two ways. Firstly there are $k(k-1)/2$ chords of $\bar{K}$ and each has $q-1$ points not in $\bar{K}$. There is a subgroup $\bar{G}_0$ of $\Gamma L(r+1, q)$ acting transitively on $PG(r, q) - \bar{K}$, so each of these m points has the same chord-number c and a second count gives mc chord-point combinations. Thus $mc = k(k-1)(q-1)/2$ leading to the required expression for c . $\square$

The main tool in our investigation is the substantial result by M.W. Liebeck [12], where the affine permutation groups of rank three are classified.

Result 6 [12] *Let G be a finite primitive affine permutation group of rank three and of degree $n = p^d$, with socle V , where $V \simeq (Z_p^d)$ for some prime p , and let G_0 be the stabilizer of the zero vector in V . Then G_0 belongs to one of the following families:*

- (A) *11 Infinite classes;*
- (B) *Extraspecial classes with $G_0 \leq N_{\Gamma L(d, p)}(R)$, where R is a 2-group or 3-group irreducible on V ;*
- (C) *Exceptional classes. Here the socle L of $G_0/Z(G_0)$ is simple (where $Z(G_0)$ denotes the centre of G_0).*

We shall recall the details of the groups belonging to the classes in (A), (B) and (C) as we need them.

Suppose $\bar{K}$ is a cap in $PG(r, q)$ such that a subgroup $\bar{G}_0$ of $P\Gamma L(r+1, q)$ acts transitively on each of $\bar{K}$ and its complement. Then $\bar{G}_0$ corresponds to a subgroup G_0 of $GL(d, p)$ having three orbits on the vectors of $V(d, p)$, where p is prime and $p^d = q^{r+1}$. Moreover G_0 will contain matrices corresponding to scalar multiplication by elements of $GF(q)^*$. As we demonstrate shortly, with one exception, $V(d, p) \cdot G_0$ is primitive as a permutation group, so Liebeck's theorem may be applied. Notice that since we are interested in groups G_0 containing $GF(q)^*$ we avoid the possibility of two orbits of vectors in $V(d, p)$ giving rise to a single orbit of points in $PG(r, q)$.

Clearly G_0 may be embedded in $\Gamma L(r+1, q)$. At the beginning of Section 1 of [12], Liebeck notes that in his result $G_0 \leq GL(d, p)$ is embedded in $\Gamma L(a, p^{d/a})$ with a minimal. Thus $r+1 \geq a$ i.e. $q \leq p^{d/a}$. Moreover in

almost all cases it is clear that the groups he identifies have orbits that are unions of 1-dimensional subspaces of $V(a, p^{d/a})$ (excluding the zero vector). If a 1-dimensional subspace over $GF(p^{d/a})$ does contain vectors u, v that are linearly independent over $GF(q)$, then u, v and $u + v$ correspond to three collinear points in $PG(r, q)$ and the orbit in $PG(r, q)$ cannot be a cap. Thus in our setting we usually have $q = p^{d/a}$: there is just one exception, the class A1, although we have to justify $q = p^{d/a}$ for the class A2.

Lemma 7 *Suppose $\bar{K}$ is a transitive, co-transitive cap in $PG(r, q)$ with $\bar{G}_0 \leq P\Gamma L(r+1, q)$ acting transitively on each of $\bar{K}$ and $PG(r, q) - \bar{K}$ and suppose that G_0 is the pre-image of $\bar{G}_0$ in $GL(d, p)$. Let $H = V(d, p) \cdot G_0$. Then H is imprimitive on $V = V(d, p)$ if and only if $q = 2$ and $\bar{K}$ is the complement of a hyperplane.*

Proof. Suppose that H is imprimitive on V . Let Ω be a block containing 0. Then the two orbits of non-zero vectors of G_0 are $\Omega \setminus 0$ and $V \setminus \Omega$. Let u and v be any two vectors in Ω , then $\Omega + v$ is a block containing $0 + v$ and $u + v$ so $\Omega + v = \Omega$. In other words $u + v$ is in Ω and so Ω is a $GF(p)$ -subspace of V . More than this G_0 contains the scalars in $GF(q)^*$ and so Ω is actually a $GF(q)$ -subspace. Thus Ω cannot correspond to a cap. In $PG(r, q)$ our two orbits consist of points in a subspace and the complement. A line not in the subspace meets the subspace in at most one point so the complement cannot form a cap except perhaps when $p = q = 2$ and the subspace has projective dimension $r - 1$. Conversely, as is well known, the complement of a hyperplane is indeed a cap in $PG(r, 2)$ and it is the only way in which the complement of a subspace is a cap. It is easy to see that this cap is transitive and co-transitive. $\square$

We recall for the reader that the *socle* of a finite group is the product of its minimal normal subgroups. In our setting $V(d, p) \cdot G_0$ has V as its unique minimal normal subgroup.

Liebeck's theorem tells us the possibilities for G_0 and gives two orbits of G_0 on the non-zero vectors of $V(d, p)$. We denote these by K_1 and K_2 , and the corresponding sets of points in $PG(r, q)$ by $\bar{K}_1$ and $\bar{K}_2$. We assume that neither K_1 nor K_2 lies in a subspace of $V(r+1, q)$; given $GF(q)^* \leq G_0$ this means that neither K_1 nor K_2 lies in a subspace of $V(d, p)$. We may henceforth assume that $V(d, p) \cdot G_0$ is a finite primitive affine permutation group of rank 3 and degree p^d , so we may apply Result 6.

We begin with the case by case analysis. In many cases we use data from Result 6 and apply Lemmas 2, 5, but there are occasions when we need to look at the structure of orbits in detail; there are also occasions when using the structure of the orbits is more illuminating and yet no less efficient than the bound and chord-number arguments.

2 The infinite classes A

2.1 The class A1

In this case G_0 is a subgroup of $\Gamma L(1, p^d)$ containing $GF(q)^*$. Such a subgroup is generated by ω^N and $\omega^e \alpha^s$, for some N, e, s where ω is a primitive element of $GF(p^d)$ and α is the generating automorphism $x \mapsto x^p$ of $GF(p^d)$; if we write $p^d = q^a$, then N divides $(q^a - 1)/(q - 1)$. Let H_0 be the subgroup of $\Gamma L(1, p^d)$ generated by ω^N . Then H_0 is a Singer subgroup of $GL(1, p^d)$ and the orbits of H_0 in $PG(r, q)$ are called Singer orbits. Clearly if G_0 has two orbits in $PG(r, q)$, then each orbit is the union of Singer orbits. If the smaller orbit is to be a cap, then each Singer orbit must itself be a cap. A precise criterion for deciding when Singer orbits are caps in $PG(r, q)$ is given by Szőnyi [14, Proposition 1].

Precise criteria for there to be two orbits for G_0 on non-zero vectors of $V(d, p)$ are given by Foulser and Kallaher [5]. These involve numbers m_1 and v such that the primes of m_1 divide $p^s - 1$, v is a prime $\neq 2$ and $\text{ord}_v p^{sm_1} = v - 1$, $(e, m_1) = 1$, $m_1 s(v - 1) | d$, $N = vm_1$. The orbit lengths are $m_1(p^d - 1)/N$ and $(v - 1)m_1(p^d - 1)/N$. Notice that when $p = 2$ the smaller orbit has odd size. Hill [8] suggests the possibility of transitive, co-transitive caps of size 78 in $PG(5, 4)$ and 430 in $PG(6, 4)$. It is now clear that these cannot be caps from class A1 and our main theorem then shows that they cannot be caps at all.

2.2 The class A2

G_0 preserves a direct sum $V_1 \oplus V_2$, where V_1, V_2 are subspaces of $V(d, p)$. One orbit must be $K_1 = (V_1 \cup V_2) - \{0\}$ and the other $K_2 = \{v_1 + v_2 : 0 \neq v_1 \in V_1, 0 \neq v_2 \in V_2\}$. We first show that V_1, V_2 are subspaces over $GF(q)$. Observe that for any $\lambda \in GF(q)^* \leq G_0$, $\lambda V_1 = V_1$ or V_2 and let $F = \{\lambda \in GF(q)^* : \lambda V_1 = V_1\} \cap \{0\}$. Then F is a subfield of $GF(q)$ having order greater than $q/2$ so must be $GF(q)$. It is now clear that V_1, V_2 are subspaces of $V(r + 1, q)$ of dimension $t = (r + 1)/2$. Given that $r \geq 2$, we must have $t \geq 2$, so $\bar{K}_1$ contains lines of $PG(r, q)$ and cannot be a cap. Moreover $|\bar{K}_1| = 2(q^t - 1)/(q - 1) < (q^{r+1} - 1)/2$ so $\bar{K}_1$ is the smaller orbit and therefore $\bar{K}_2$ cannot be a cap.

2.3 The class A3

G_0 preserves a tensor product $V_1 \otimes V_2$ over $GF(q)$, with V_1 having dimension 2 over $GF(q)$. One orbit must be $K_1 = \{v_1 \otimes v_2 : 0 \neq v_1 \in V_1, 0 \neq v_2 \in V_2\}$ and the other $K_2 = V - (K_1 \cup \{0\})$.

Consider the $GF(q)$ -subspace $V_1 \otimes v_2$ of V for some $0 \neq v_2 \in V_2$. It has dimension 2 in $V(r + 1, q)$ so corresponds to a line in $PG(r, q)$ inside $\bar{K}_1$.

Hence $\bar{K}_1$ is not a cap.

Let b be the dimension of V_2 over $GF(q)$. Then $r + 1 = 2b$ and $|\bar{K}_1| = (q+1)(q^b-1)/(q-1)$ ([12, Table12]) so $|\bar{K}_2| = q(q^b-1)(q^{b-1}-1)/(q-1) > |\bar{K}_1|$ except when $q = 2, b = 2$ (i.e., $r + 1 = d = 4$). Thus there is only one case in which $\bar{K}_2$ can possibly be a cap.

Suppose that $q = p = 2$ and $d = 4$, i.e. we are reduced to considering caps in $PG(3, 2)$. In $PG(3, 2)$, we see that $|\bar{K}_1| = 9$ and $|\bar{K}_2| = 6$. Thus here $\bar{K}_1$ is too big and for $\bar{K}_2$ it is simplest to note that $(6.5.1)/(2.9) \notin \mathbb{Z}$, so neither is a cap (by Lemmas 2 and 5).

2.4 The class A4

$G_0 \supseteq SL(a, s)$ and $p^d = s^{2a}$. Here $q = s^2$ and $p^d = q^a$ with $SL(a, s)$ embedded in $GL(d, p)$ as a subgroup of $SL(a, q)$: let $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_a$ be a basis for V over $GF(q)$ then with respect to this basis $SL(a, s)$ consists of the matrices in $SL(a, q)$ having every entry in $GF(s)$. If G_0 has two orbits on non-zero vectors of V then those orbits must be $K_1 = \{\gamma \sum \lambda_i e_i \mid \lambda_i \in GF(s), \text{ not all } 0, 0 \neq \gamma \in GF(q)\}$ and K_2 the set of all remaining non-zero vectors. In other words $\bar{G}_0$ preserves a subgeometry of $PG(r, q)$. We have $r > 1$ so that $a \geq 3$. Thus three collinear points of $PG(r, s)$ are still three collinear points in $PG(r, q)$ and so $\bar{K}_1$ is not a cap.

Let us turn to $\bar{K}_2$. As noted above, $r > 1$ so $a \geq 3$. Let $u = e_1 + \sigma e_2, v = e_2 + \sigma e_3$, where $\sigma \in GF(q) \setminus GF(s)$. Then u, v and $u+v = e_1 + (\sigma+1)e_2 + \sigma e_3 \in K_2$ correspond to collinear points of $PG(r, q)$, all in $\bar{K}_2$. Hence $\bar{K}_2$ is not a cap.

2.5 The class A5

$G_0 \supseteq SL(2, s)$ and $p^d = s^6$. Here $q = s^3$ and $p^d = q^2$ with $SL(2, s)$ embedded in $GL(d, p)$ as a subgroup of $SL(2, q)$. However $r = 1$ in this case so it does not concern us.

2.6 The class A6

$G_0 \supseteq SU(a, q')$ and $p^d = ((q')^2)^a$. In this case $q = (q')^2$. Here one orbit K_1 consists of the non-zero isotropic vectors and the other orbit K_2 consists of the non-isotropic vectors with respect to an appropriate non-degenerate hermitian form. Each orbit is a union of 1-dimensional subspaces of $V(a, q)$ (excluding the zero vector). To begin with, a non-isotropic line of $PG(r, q)$ contains at least three isotropic points, i.e., three points of $\bar{K}_1$. Therefore $\bar{K}_1$ cannot be a cap.

Now consider $\bar{K}_2$. Given $a \geq 3$, consider a line of $PG(r, q)$ that is isotropic

but not totally isotropic, then it contains one point of $\bar{K}_1$ and $q \geq 4$ points of $\bar{K}_2$. Hence $\bar{K}_2$ is not a cap.

2.7 The class A7

$G_0 \supseteq \Omega^\pm(a, q)$ and $p^d = (q)^a$ with a even (and if q is odd, G_0 contains an automorphism interchanging the two orbits of $\Omega^\pm(a, q)$ on non-singular 1-spaces). The arguments here are similar to the Unitary case. K_1 consists of the non-zero singular vectors and K_2 consists of the non-singular vectors. Let b be the Witt index of the appropriate quadratic form on $V(a, q)$ i.e., the dimension of a maximal totally singular subspace. Then a is one of $2b, 2b+2$. Any totally singular line would be a line of $PG(r, q)$ lying inside $\bar{K}_1$. Given that $a \geq 3$, it follows that the only possibility for $\bar{K}_1$ being a cap is when $\bar{K}_1$ is an elliptic quadric in $PG(3, q)$. In passing we note that for odd q , the necessary automorphism is contained in G_0 only when q is square; in this case and in the case q even, the elliptic quadric gives a well known cap.

Let us turn to $\bar{K}_2$. Any anisotropic line of $PG(r, q)$ lies inside $\bar{K}_2$ so $\bar{K}_2$ can never be a cap.

2.8 The class A8

$G_0 \supseteq SL(5, q)$ and $p^d = (q)^{10}$ (from the action of $SL(5, q)$ on the skew square $\bigwedge^2(V(5, q))$). Here one orbit of non-zero vectors must be $K_1 = \{0 \neq u \wedge v : u, v \in V(5, q)\}$ with the other non-zero vectors belonging to K_2 . One can argue in a similar manner to the case of the tensor product. However it is quicker here to note that the orbits of $\bar{G}_0$ on $PG(r, q)$ have sizes $k = (q^5 - 1)(q^2 + 1)/(q - 1)$ and $m = q^2(q^5 - 1)(q^3 - 1)/(q - 1)$ ([12, Table12]) with $k < m$ for all values of q . The chord-number is then given by $c = k(k - 1)(q - 1)/2m$ by Lemma 5 i.e., $c = (q^2 + 1)(q^3 + q + 1)/2q \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Hence neither $\bar{K}_1$ nor $\bar{K}_2$ is a cap.

2.9 The class A9

$G_0/Z(G_0) \supseteq \Omega(7, q) \cdot Z_{(2, q-1)}$ and $p^d = q^8$ (from the action of $B_3(q)$ on a spin module) [3], [11]. The study of Clifford algebras leads to the construction of "spin modules" for $P\Omega(m, q)$. When $m = 8$ this leads to the triality automorphism of $P\Omega^+(8, q)$. One finds that it is possible (via this automorphism) to embed $\Omega(7, q) \cong P\Omega(7, q)$ inside $P\Omega^+(8, q)$ as an irreducible subgroup. The important thing from our point of view is that two non-trivial orbits of G_0 must be the set of all non-zero singular vectors and the set of all non-singular vectors with respect to a non-degenerate quadratic form on $V(8, q)$. In this setting the arguments employed for class A7 apply: neither orbit can be a

cap.

2.10 The class A10

$G_0/Z(G_0) \supseteq P\Omega^+(10, q)$ and $p^d = q^{16}$ (from the action of $D_5(q)$ on a spin module) [3], [11]. Once again we have a spin representation, this time of $P\Omega^+(10, q)$ on $PG(15, q)$. On this occasion it is quickest to work from the orbit lengths.

The orbits of $\bar{G}_0$ on $PG(r, q)$ have sizes $k = (q^8 - 1)(q^3 + 1)/(q - 1)$ and $m = q^3(q^8 - 1)(q^5 - 1)/(q - 1)$ ([12, Table12]) with $k < m$ for all values of q . The chord-number is then given by $c = k(k - 1)(q - 1)/2m$ by Lemma 5 i.e., $c = (q^3 + 1)(q^5 + q^2 + 1)/2q^2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Hence neither $\bar{K}_1$ nor $\bar{K}_2$ is a cap.

2.11 The class A11

$G_0 \supseteq Sz(q)$ and $p^d = (q)^4$, with $q \geq 8$ an odd power of 2 (from the embedding $Sz(q) \leq Sp(4, q)$). Here the smaller orbit $\bar{K}_1$ on $PG(3, q)$ is a Suzuki–Tits ovoid containing $q^2 + 1$ points and this is indeed a cap [15], [9, 16.4.5].

3 The Extraspecial classes

In most cases here $G_0 \leq M$ where M is the normalizer in $\Gamma L(a, q)$ of a 2-group R , where $p^d = (q)^a$ and $a = 2^m$ for some $m \geq 1$; either R is an extraspecial group 2^{1+2m} or R is isomorphic to $Z_4 \circ 2^{1+2m}$. In all cases here p is odd. There are two types of extraspecial group 2^{1+2m} , denoted R_1^m and R_2^m ; the first of these has the structure $D_8 \circ D_8 \circ \dots \circ D_8$ (m copies) and the second $D_8 \circ D_8 \circ \dots \circ D_8 \circ Q_8$ ($m - 1$ copies of D_8), where D_8 and Q_8 are respectively the dihedral and quaternion groups of order 8, and 'o' indicates a central product. The group $Z_4 \circ 2^{1+2m}$ is again a central product, this time $Z_4 \circ D_8 \circ D_8 \circ \dots \circ D_8$ (m copies of D_8) and is denoted by R_3^m . Notice that R modulo its centre is an elementary abelian 2-group, i.e. a $2m$ -dimensional vector space over $GF(2)$ and in fact M/RZ (Z being the centre of $\Gamma L(a, q)$) may be embedded in $GSp(2m, 2)$. In just one case $G_0 \leq M$ with M the normalizer in $\Gamma L(3, 4)$ of a 3-group of order 27. We record from [12, Table 13] that in this case the non-trivial orbit sizes of G_0 on $V(3, 4)$ are 27 and 36, i.e. the point orbit sizes in $PG(2, 4)$ are 9 and 12, but the largest possible size of a cap (here better termed an arc) in $PG(2, 4)$ is 6. Hence there are no caps here and we may henceforth assume that R is a 2-group, with p odd.

There are sixteen instances where G_0 has two non-trivial orbits on $V(d, p) \simeq V(a, q)$, but ten of these have $a = 2$ (i.e. $m = 1$) and so refer to action on a projective line, i.e. $r < 2$; note that two of these cases have $q > p$. Thus we concentrate on the remaining six cases. In each of these cases $q = p$ and in

all but the last case the vector space is $V(4, p)$. In the last case the vector space is $V(8, 3)$. Four cases follow immediately from known bounds - they are listed in the table below.

$p=q$	r	R	smaller orbit size	max. cap size
3	3	R_1^2	16	10
5	3	R_2^2	60	26
5	3	R_3^2	60	26
7	3	R_2^2	80	50

The case $p = q = 3, r = 7, R = R_2^3$.

In this case smaller orbit of $\bar{G}_0$ on $PG(7, 3)$ has size 720, while the maximum size for a cap in $PG(7, 3)$ is only known to be ≤ 729 . Instead we use Lemma 5: the larger orbit has size 2560 and $(720.719.2)/(2.2560) \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

The case $p = q = 3, r = 3, R = R_2^2$.

Here Liebeck notes that R has five orbits of size 16 on $V(4, 3)$ and M permutes these orbits acting as S_5 , the symmetric group of degree 5. Thus there are a number of possibilities for G_0 having two non-trivial orbits on $V(4, 3)$. However it is straightforward to construct generating matrices for R and we see immediately that one orbit of size 16 on $V(4, 3)$ cannot correspond to a cap in $PG(3, 3)$. Therefore none of the orbits of size 16 can correspond to a cap and hence no possible choices of G_0 can give rise to a cap.

4 The Exceptional classes

Finally we turn to the exceptional classes where the socle L of $G_0/Z(G_0)$ is simple. There are just thirteen different possibilities for L , although on occasion more than one possibility for G_0 corresponds to a given L . For example for $L = A_5$ there are seven different possibilities for G_0 (one of which leads to a single orbit in $PG(d-1, p)$); however all of these lead to $r < 2$ and so do not concern us.

We employ a variety of techniques to tackle these cases. Liebeck [12, Table 14] gives the orbit sizes in $V(d, p)$ and sometimes we can use these to rule out the possibility of caps. On other occasions we can use the fact that the chord-number is an integer. On two occasions, neither of these approaches works and we have to investigate the known structure of the smaller orbit. There remain two cases where a cap does occur.

The cases where caps occur.

When $L = A_6$ and $(d, p) = (6, 2)$, L admits an embedding in $PSL(3, 4)$ (so here $q = 4$) and G_0 has an orbit of size 6. In fact this is a hyperoval in $PG(2, 4)$ [2],[6] so we do have a cap.

When $L = M_{11}$ and $(d, p) = (5, 3)$ there is a representation of L in which one orbit has size 11 and in fact this is a cap. In passing we note that this cap arises as an orbit of a Singer cyclic subgroup of $PG(4, 3)$ [4]; moreover $PG(4, 3)$ is partitioned into eleven 11-caps (the eleven orbits of the Singer cyclic subgroup). Note also that there is a second representation of $L = M_{11}$ on $PG(4, 3)$ (see below). In fact both representations appear in the context of the ternary Golay code [1, Ch. 6].

Cases where known bounds rule out caps.

In each of the following cases the smaller orbit is larger than the known upper bound for a cap size, so cannot be a cap. In the table k is the smaller orbit size.

L	(d, p)	r	q	k	max. cap size
A_6	$(4, 5)$	3	5	36	26
A_7	$(4, 7)$	3	7	120	50
M_{11}	$(5, 3)$	4	3	55	≤ 27
J_2	$(6, 5)$	5	5	1890	≤ 625
J_2	$(12, 2)$	5	4	525	≤ 256

Cases where c an integer rules out caps.

In each of the following cases a calculation $c = k(k-1)(q-1)/2m$ yields a non-integer and so by Lemma 5, the smaller orbit does not correspond to a cap. In the table k is the smaller orbit size and m the larger orbit size.

L	(d, p)	r	q	k	m
A_9	$(8, 2)$	7	2	120	135
A_{10}	$(8, 2)$	7	2	45	210
$L_2(17)$	$(8, 2)$	7	2	102	153
M_{24}	$(11, 2)$	10	2	276	1771
M_{24}	$(11, 2)$	10	2	759	1288
Suz or J_4	$(12, 3)$	11	2	65520	465920

The case $L = A_7$ and $(d, p) = (8, 2)$.

Here L is embedded in $PSL(4, 4)$ (so $q = 4$). In fact L may actually be embedded in $A_8 \simeq PSL(4, 2) \leq PSL(4, 4)$. The group A_8 and therefore A_7

preserve a subgeometry whose 15 points form the smaller orbit. There are numerous examples of three points on a line in the subgeometry. Thus we have no caps.

The case $L = \text{PSU}(4, 2)$ and $(d, p) = (4, 7)$.

The vectors in the smaller orbit are given by Liebeck [12, Lemma 3.4]:

$$(\theta; 0, 0, 0), \quad (0; \theta, 0, 0), \quad (0; \omega^a, \omega^b, \omega^c), \quad (\omega^a; 0, \omega^b, -\omega^c),$$

(together with all scalar multiples) where $\theta = \omega = 2$; a, b, c take any integral values; and the last three coordinates may be permuted cyclically. It suffices here to observe that $(1; 0, 0, 0)$, $(1; 0, 1, 6)$ and $(2; 0, 1, 6)$ all lie in this orbit and give three collinear points in $PG(3, 7)$. So no cap arises here.

References

- [1] M. Aschbacher, *Sporadic groups*, Cambridge Tract in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994
- [2] A. Beutelspacher, $21 - 6 = 15$: A connection between two distinguished geometries, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 93, (1986), 29-41.
- [3] C.C. Chevalley, *The algebraic theory of spinors*, New York, 1954.
- [4] A. Cossidente, O.H. King, Caps and cap partitions in Galois projective spaces, *Europ. J. of Combinatorics* (1998) 19, 787-799.
- [5] D.A. Foulser, M.J. Kallaher, Solvable, flag-transitive rank 3 collineation groups, *Geom. Dedicata*, 7, (1978), 111-130.
- [6] G. Glauberman, On the Suzuki groups and the outer automorphisms of S_6 , in: *Groups, difference sets, and the monster*, Proceedings of a special research quarter at the Ohio State University, Spring 1993, Eds. K.T. Arasu et al. W. de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1996.
- [7] R. Hill, Rank 3 permutation groups with a regular normal subgroup, Ph.D. Thesis, Nottingham 1971.
- [8] R. Hill Caps and groups, in: *Teorie Combinatorie*, volume II, Accad. Naz. dei Lincei, Rome, 1976, (Rome 1973), 389-394.
- [9] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, *Finite Projective Spaces of Three Dimensions*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [10] J.W.P. Hirschfeld and J.A. Thas, *General Galois Geometries*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1991.

- [11] P.B. Kleidman, M.W. Liebeck, *The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 129, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1990.
- [12] M.W. Liebeck, The affine permutation groups of rank three, Proc. London. Math. Soc. (3) 54 (1987), 477-516.
- [13] B. Mortimer, The modular permutation representations of the known doubly transitive group, Proc. London. Math. Soc. 41, (1980), 1-20.
- [14] T. Szőnyi, On cyclic caps in projective spaces, Designs, codes and Cryptography, 8, (1996), 327-332.
- [15] J. Tits, Ovoides et groupes de Suzuki, Arch. Math., 13, (1962), 187-198.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 51E22; Secondary 20B15, 20B25

Keywords and Phrases: Caps, Rank 3 permutation groups

A. Cossidente, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università della Basilicata, via N.Sauro 85, 85100 Potenza, Italy.

e-mail: cossidente@unibas.it

O.H. King, Department of Mathematics, The University of Newcastle, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, United Kingdom.

e-mail: o.h.kink@ncl.ac.uk